WEST BENGAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

Present-

Hon'ble Justice Soumitra Pal, Hon'ble Chairman. & Hon'ble Mr. P. Ramesh Kumar, Administrative Member.

Case No. OA 321 of 2016. ASIM KUMAR ACHARYA - VS- THE STATE OF W.B. & ORS.

Date of order. 1 7 29.8.2018. For the Applicant : Mrs. S. Mitra, Advocate. For the State Respondent: Mr. S.N. Ray, Advocate. In this application, the applicant has prayed for a direction upon the respondents for an enquiry into the selection process for the post of Group "D" in the Commercial Tax Department. Earlier, the applicant had moved the Tribunal by filing OA 1978 of 1998, which was dismissed by judgement passed on 11 th July, 2003. Challenging the said order of dismissal, the applicant filed a Writ Petition before the Hon'ble High Court , evident from the reply filed by the State, which was dismissed with costs. Thereafter, the applicant filed one RTI application on 11 th January, 2016. The RTI authorities had replied on 23 rd February, 2016 by recording as under:-	Serial No. and	ASIM KUMAR ACHARYA – VS- THE STATE OF W.B. & ORS. Order of the Tribunal with signature	Office action with date
For the Applicant : Mrs. S. Mitra, Advocate. For the State Respondent: Mr. S.N. Ray, Advocate. In this application, the applicant has prayed for a direction upon the respondents for an enquiry into the selection process for the post of Group "D" in the Commercial Tax Department. Earlier, the applicant had moved the Tribunal by filing OA 1978 of 1998, which was dismissed by judgement passed on 11 th July, 2003. Challenging the said order of dismissal, the applicant filed a Writ Petition before the Hon'ble High Court , evident from the reply filed by the State, which was dismissed with costs. Thereafter, the applicant filed one RTI application on 11 th January, 2016. The RTI authorities had replied on 23 rd February, 2016 by recording as under:-		-	and dated signature
For the Applicant : Mrs. S. Mitra, Advocate. For the State Respondent: Mr. S.N. Ray, Advocate. In this application, the applicant has prayed for a direction upon the respondents for an enquiry into the selection process for the post of Group "D" in the Commercial Tax Department. Earlier, the applicant had moved the Tribunal by filing OA 1978 of 1998, which was dismissed by judgement passed on 11 th July, 2003. Challenging the said order of dismissal, the applicant filed a Writ Petition before the Hon'ble High Court , evident from the reply filed by the State, which was dismissed with costs. Thereafter, the applicant filed one RTI application on 11 th January, 2016. The RTI authorities had replied on 23 rd February, 2016 by recording as under:-		_	of parties when necessary
Por the Applicant : Mrs. S. Mitra, Advocate. For the State Respondent : Mr. S.N. Ray, Advocate. In this application, the applicant has prayed for a direction upon the respondents for an enquiry into the selection process for the post of Group "D" in the Commercial Tax Department. Earlier, the applicant had moved the Tribunal by filing OA 1978 of 1998, which was dismissed by judgement passed on 11 th July, 2003. Challenging the said order of dismissal, the applicant filed a Writ Petition before the Hon'ble High Court , evident from the reply filed by the State, which was dismissed with costs. Thereafter, the applicant filed one RTI application on 11 th January, 2016. The RTI authorities had replied on 23 rd February, 2016 by recording as under:-			3
For the State Respondent: Mr. S.N. Ray, Advocate. In this application, the applicant has prayed for a direction upon the respondents for an enquiry into the selection process for the post of Group "D" in the Commercial Tax Department. Earlier, the applicant had moved the Tribunal by filing OA 1978 of 1998, which was dismissed by judgement passed on 11 th July, 2003. Challenging the said order of dismissal, the applicant filed a Writ Petition before the Hon'ble High Court, evident from the reply filed by the State, which was dismissed with costs. Thereafter, the applicant filed one RTI application on 11 th January, 2016. The RTI authorities had replied on 23 rd February, 2016 by recording as under:-	7	For the Applicant : Mrs. S. Mitra,	
In this application, the applicant has prayed for a direction upon the respondents for an enquiry into the selection process for the post of Group "D" in the Commercial Tax Department. Earlier, the applicant had moved the Tribunal by filing OA 1978 of 1998, which was dismissed by judgement passed on 11 th July, 2003. Challenging the said order of dismissal, the applicant filed a Writ Petition before the Hon'ble High Court , evident from the reply filed by the State, which was dismissed with costs. Thereafter, the applicant filed one RTI application on 11 th January, 2016. The RTI authorities had replied on 23 rd February, 2016 by recording as under:-	29.8.2018.	Advocate.	
In this application, the applicant has prayed for a direction upon the respondents for an enquiry into the selection process for the post of Group "D" in the Commercial Tax Department. Earlier, the applicant had moved the Tribunal by filing OA 1978 of 1998, which was dismissed by judgement passed on 11 th July, 2003. Challenging the said order of dismissal, the applicant filed a Writ Petition before the Hon'ble High Court , evident from the reply filed by the State, which was dismissed with costs. Thereafter, the applicant filed one RTI application on 11 th January, 2016. The RTI authorities had replied on 23 rd February, 2016 by recording as under:-			
In this application, the applicant has prayed for a direction upon the respondents for an enquiry into the selection process for the post of Group "D" in the Commercial Tax Department. Earlier, the applicant had moved the Tribunal by filing OA 1978 of 1998, which was dismissed by judgement passed on 11 th July, 2003. Challenging the said order of dismissal, the applicant filed a Writ Petition before the Hon'ble High Court , evident from the reply filed by the State, which was dismissed with costs. Thereafter, the applicant filed one RTI application on 11 th January, 2016. The RTI authorities had replied on 23 rd February, 2016 by recording as under:-			
prayed for a direction upon the respondents for an enquiry into the selection process for the post of Group "D" in the Commercial Tax Department. Earlier, the applicant had moved the Tribunal by filing OA 1978 of 1998, which was dismissed by judgement passed on 11 th July, 2003. Challenging the said order of dismissal, the applicant filed a Writ Petition before the Hon'ble High Court, evident from the reply filed by the State, which was dismissed with costs. Thereafter, the applicant filed one RTI application on 11 th January, 2016. The RTI authorities had replied on 23 rd February, 2016 by recording as under:-		Advocate.	
enquiry into the selection process for the post of Group "D" in the Commercial Tax Department. Earlier, the applicant had moved the Tribunal by filing OA 1978 of 1998, which was dismissed by judgement passed on 11 th July, 2003. Challenging the said order of dismissal, the applicant filed a Writ Petition before the Hon'ble High Court, evident from the reply filed by the State, which was dismissed with costs. Thereafter, the applicant filed one RTI application on 11 th January, 2016. The RTI authorities had replied on 23 rd February, 2016 by recording as under:-		In this application, the applicant has	
"D" in the Commercial Tax Department. Earlier, the applicant had moved the Tribunal by filing OA 1978 of 1998, which was dismissed by judgement passed on 11 th July, 2003. Challenging the said order of dismissal, the applicant filed a Writ Petition before the Hon'ble High Court, evident from the reply filed by the State, which was dismissed with costs. Thereafter, the applicant filed one RTI application on 11 th January, 2016. The RTI authorities had replied on 23 rd February, 2016 by recording as under:-		prayed for a direction upon the respondents for an	
applicant had moved the Tribunal by filing OA 1978 of 1998, which was dismissed by judgement passed on 11 th July, 2003. Challenging the said order of dismissal, the applicant filed a Writ Petition before the Hon'ble High Court, evident from the reply filed by the State, which was dismissed with costs. Thereafter, the applicant filed one RTI application on 11 th January, 2016. The RTI authorities had replied on 23 rd February, 2016 by recording as under:-		enquiry into the selection process for the post of Group	
1998, which was dismissed by judgement passed on 11 th July, 2003. Challenging the said order of dismissal, the applicant filed a Writ Petition before the Hon'ble High Court, evident from the reply filed by the State, which was dismissed with costs. Thereafter, the applicant filed one RTI application on 11 th January, 2016. The RTI authorities had replied on 23 rd February, 2016 by recording as under:-		"D" in the Commercial Tax Department. Earlier, the	
July, 2003. Challenging the said order of dismissal, the applicant filed a Writ Petition before the Hon'ble High Court, evident from the reply filed by the State, which was dismissed with costs. Thereafter, the applicant filed one RTI application on 11 th January, 2016. The RTI authorities had replied on 23 rd February, 2016 by recording as under:-		applicant had moved the Tribunal by filing OA 1978 of	
applicant filed a Writ Petition before the Hon'ble High Court , evident from the reply filed by the State, which was dismissed with costs. Thereafter, the applicant filed one RTI application on 11 th January, 2016. The RTI authorities had replied on 23 rd February, 2016 by recording as under:-		1998, which was dismissed by judgement passed on 11 th	
Court , evident from the reply filed by the State, which was dismissed with costs. Thereafter, the applicant filed one RTI application on 11 th January, 2016. The RTI authorities had replied on 23 rd February, 2016 by recording as under :-		July, 2003. Challenging the said order of dismissal, the	
was dismissed with costs. Thereafter, the applicant filed one RTI application on 11 th January, 2016. The RTI authorities had replied on 23 rd February, 2016 by recording as under:-		applicant filed a Writ Petition before the Hon'ble High	
one RTI application on 11 th January, 2016. The RTI authorities had replied on 23 rd February, 2016 by recording as under:-		Court , evident from the reply filed by the State, which	
authorities had replied on 23 rd February, 2016 by recording as under :-		was dismissed with costs. Thereafter, the applicant filed	
recording as under :-		one RTI application on 11 th January, 2016. The RTI	
		authorities had replied on 23 rd February, 2016 by	
" We are in receipt of your application		recording as under :-	
IIII TTE GIE III TEEEIPE OJ YOUT APPREACION		" We are in receipt of your application	
dated 11/01/2016, received on 25/01/2016 by the office		dated 11/01/2016, received on 25/01/2016 by the office	
of the SPIO/HQ, u/s 6 of the RTI Act, 2005.		of the SPIO/HQ, u/s 6 of the RTI Act, 2005.	
However, in response to your queries on the		However, in response to your queries on the	
above subject and reference, I am to inform you that after		above subject and reference, I am to inform you that after	

	ASIM KUMAR ACHARYA.
Form No.	••••
	Vs.

OA 321 of 2016.

THE STATE OF W.B. & ORS.

Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal 2	with signature	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3
	verifying the photocopy of the	nvelop submitted by you,	
	it appears that no such envelo	op was issued from this	
	office and the speed post numbe	r is not matched with our	
	records. So, the question of veri	fication of other contents	
	does not arise".		
	Since we find that	the applicant is trying to	
	re-agitate the matters which ha	ave been adjudicated no	
	order can be passed on this ag	oplication. Therefore, the	
	application is dismissed.		
	(P. Ramesh Kumar) Member(A).	(Soumitra Pal) Chairman.	
Skg.			

	ASIM KUMAR ACHARYA.
Form No.	
	Vs.
OA 321 of 2016.	THE STATE OF W.B. & ORS.

Case No.

Case No		
Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary
Skg.		

	ASIM KUMAR ACHARYA.
Form No.	
	Vs.
OA 321 of 2016.	THE STATE OF W.B. & ORS.

Case No.

Serial No. and Date of order. 1 Order of the Tribunal with signature 2 Office action with data and dated signature of parties when neces 3	·e
of parties when neces	sary
of parties when neces	sary
3	